DETAILED ACTION

Claims 17-35 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 17-30. Claims 17-22 are drawn to a lenticular display assembly, classified in class 359, subclass 267. Claims 23-30 are drawn to a method for displaying a lenticular image and are being grouped together with the display assembly claims since they could be searched together without any undue burden on the examiner.
- II. Claims 31-35, drawn to a method for creating connection holes, classified in class 359, subclass 267.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions in Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as a combination of different types of panels for any use outside of that of group I, such as a panel without the claimed fasteners and tension member of group I.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence of admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahidere S. Sahle whose telephone number is 571 270-3329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571 272-2333. The fax phone number for

Application/Control Number: 10/595,374 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MSS

10/25/2008

/Jessica T Stultz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873